Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/462,408	SCHMIDT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	BJ Forman	1634
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>BJ Forman</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Deborah Yellin</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 17 May 2005	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.	•	
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 28		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WA	AS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summar</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a write record of the substance o	itten summary of the substance ne interview, since the interview
1/20HD		
BJ FORMANY PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted Ms. Yellin to discuss the scope of claim 28 and allowability of all other pending claims. The examiner stated that the novel feature of the allowable claims is the combination of capillary electrophoresis and collision cleavage. Claim 28 is not limited to this novel feature and therefore is not free of the prior art. Ms. Yellin contacted Applicant and agreed to cancel Claim 28 allowing the remaining claims to issue.

BJ FORMAN, PH.D. PRIMARY EXAMINER